

SOCIAL and PERSONAL

VIRGINIA tourists in foreign lands are having a most agreeable time. Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Bryant, of Richmond, who have been in Paris with Mr. and Mrs. Blackford and seeing what that city has to offer in the way of beauty in art and architecture, left for Holland on August 1st, and will spend some time in Brussels before returning to London.

A postal written by Mr. Randolph Holladay from Cologne makes pleasant mention of the auto-car trip he is taking in company with Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Willard and a party of friends. Their route has been through London to the Continent, and from Cologne over fine roads and through a beautiful country to Zurich, Switzerland. Mr. Holladay will be home very soon, and will go to the White Sulphur Springs for late August.

Dr. Robert H. Eife, of the University of Virginia, more recently of Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn., has been spending the summer abroad. His route has been through England and Scotland, and thence to Paris. He has been passing recently through the beautiful Rhine country on his way to Switzerland.

King-Harrison.
Cards have been issued for the approaching marriage of Miss Cora M. Harrison, of Mitchellville, Prince George county, Md., to Mr. George P. King, of Fredericksburg, Va. The ceremony will take place at the home of the bride's sister, Mrs. H. P. Weaver, of Mitchellville, on August 11th, at noon. The couple will make their future home at Fredericksburg.

Miss Whitfield Hostess.
Miss Marjorie Whitfield has been the hostess of a pleasant house party at her home, near Suffolk, for the past two weeks. Among her guests are Misses Minnie Steinmetz, of Raleigh, N. C.; Laura Leigh McLeod, Ruth Brinkley and Mrs. Lyman Brothers, of Suffolk; Misses Olivia Ruse, Courtney Kunn and Bessie Pierce, of North Carolina.

In Stanton Tuesday.
Dr. Stuart McGuire, Mr. Roy Layne and Mr. B. A. Blenner, who are taking an auto-car tour through different sections of the State and as far North as Atlantic City, reached Stanton on Tuesday, and left on Wednesday for Hot Springs. They will go from the Hot to the Greenbrier White Sulphur.

Personal Mention.
Mrs. Harrison and daughters, of Brandon, on James River, who expected to be in Richmond yesterday on their way to Healing Springs, were prevented by sickness from carrying out their plan.
Miss Sude Wellford is improving steadily at the Retreat for the Sick.
Mr. and Mrs. James Jeffers Pollard are spending August in the home of Mr. Pollard's father, on West Grace Street, before occupying their apartment at the Raleigh.

Mr. and Mrs. Philip M. Prescott, Jr., of Norfolk, will leave on Saturday for Narragansett Pier to visit the Rev. and Mrs. Philip M. Prescott at their summer home.

Miss Josephine Wright, of Fredericksburg, while visiting the Misses Brown, of South Third Street, spent several days at Buckroe Beach last week.

Mrs. D. W. Moseley and Miss Fannie Moseley, of West Avenue, are visiting friends in Amelia county, near Otterburn Springs.

Miss Marie Brown, of South Third Street, is visiting Miss McLeod, at Palmyra Springs for several weeks.

Mr. J. D. Hamersly and family, of Pittsburg, Pa., are guests of his mother, near Red House.

Mrs. A. D. Wharton and daughter, Miss Marion Wharton, who have been guests of Mrs. J. A. Brener, of Danville, have returned to the city.

Miss Mary Carter Grigg leaves today to be the guest of Miss Lynn Lewis Jones, near Paline's Sliding.

Mrs. J. H. Roane and children are spending the month of August at the home of her parents in Greensboro, N. C.

Mrs. W. P. Mills, Miss Pearl Mills and Miss Stella Jolley are spending some time at Oak.

Miss Nettie Mitchell, after a visit to friends and relatives in Dallas, Texas, is now in Baltimore for a few weeks.

Miss Nellie Ford is visiting her mother, on Twenty-seventh Street, in Newport News, her former home. Miss Ford is a teacher in the Methodist Orphanage near here.

Mr. and Mrs. M. W. Crenshaw are spending some time at Virginia Beach.

Mrs. W. C. Louhoff, of Danville, was called to the city on Wednesday on account of the illness of her brother, Mr. Eugene Snellings.

Mr. Westwood Williams, who for the past winter has been located in Boston, Mass., is now in Portland, Me.

Mrs. William Moore and Miss Anne Gentry have left for Cardwell Springs, Newcastle.

Miss Josie Wright, of Charlottesville, is spending ten days with relatives in Richmond.

Miss Virginia Christian is the guest of Mr. and Mrs. Henry Fairfax, at Oak Hill, Loudoun county.

Miss Eva Goddin Walker, accompanied by Miss Pearl Harris and Mrs. Harris, will spend the month of August at Natural Bridge.

The Rev. Dr. F. T. McFaden is spending some time at Farmville and Lynchburg.

Miss Evangeline Palmer, who is the hostess of a house party at Greenville, entertained on Wednesday evening at

The wife of a famous cartoonist writes us that the best way to clean diamonds and other precious stones is to "soak them over night in a bowlful of Ivory Soap and water. Shavings of the soap dropped into warm water are best. In the morning, rinse them thoroughly with hot water, and behold! they are as bright as when received from the jewelers."

Try it!
Ivory Soap
99 44/100 Per Cent. Pure.



A Single Can
Will convince you that it is
true economy to buy

Good Luck Baking Powder

The powder with fine leavening qualities and pure, wholesome ingredients.
Cut coupon from can labels and send for valuable premiums.

The Southern Manufacturing Co.,
RICHMOND, VA.

a fancy dress ball, in honor of her guests, Misses Bradford, Anderson and Carpenter.

Miss Marie Donahoe, who is visiting in Lynchburg, has enjoyed a visit to Natural Bridge.

Mr. and Mrs. Dan Weinbrunn are guests of Mr. and Mrs. John F. Payne, at Clairmont, near Charlottesville.

Miss Fannie Weymouth, who has been a house guest of friends in Richmond, has returned to Newport News.

Mr. Dana Rucker is spending some time with his sister, Mrs. Garland Skinner, near Warrenton.

President Alderman, of the University of Virginia, will sail on August 30th, returning from England to the university.

Mr. and Mrs. M. W. Sandridge are guests of relatives in Charlottesville.

WATKINS-POLLOCK NUPITALS.
Pretty Danville Girl Weds Well-Known Richmond Man.

[Special to The Times-Dispatch.]
DANVILLE, VA., August 6.—A pretty wedding was solemnized at the residence of Mr. and Mrs. J. M. Pollock yesterday afternoon at 3:45 o'clock, when their daughter, Miss Myrtle Morris Pollock, became the bride of Mr. William Daniel Watkins, of Richmond, Va., the Rev. Wesley C. Vaden, of Calvary M. E. Church, officiating. The bride, handsomely attired in a gossamer gown of brown silk voile over tulle, with hat and gloves to match, with the groom in conventional black, entered the church by a side door, and were joined by the Rev. Vaden in the strains of Mendelssohn's "Wedding March," beautifully rendered by Mrs. R. P. Walters. The ceremony was performed in the presence of only a few intimate friends at the improvised altar, which was flanked on either side by a profusion of palms and evergreens.

Mrs. Watkins will be pleasantly remembered as one of the most attractive young ladies of the Northside, she being endowed with many charming traits of character, and in her marriage Danville sustains a decided loss. Mr. Watkins is a former resident of this city, having long been connected with the old tobacco firm of M. J. Jordan & Co., but for years has been associated with the American Tobacco Company at Richmond, Va., with whom he is a trusted and responsible employee.

A number of costly presents, consisting of cut glass, silverware, bric-a-brac, etc., attest in no small degree the esteem in which the couple are held.

They left on the afternoon train for Richmond, from whence they will go to other points while on their tour. They will return after August 15th to No. 2812 East Franklin Street, Richmond, where they will make their future home.

Albright—White.
[Special to The Times-Dispatch.]
NORFOLK, VA., August 6.—One of the most beautiful midsummer weddings of the season was celebrated at the home of the bride's father, Mr. M. J. W. White, in North Park Avenue, yesterday afternoon, when Miss Olivia Lee White became the bride of Mr. Edward Matthew Albright. The ceremony was performed by Rev. C. C. Ryan, pastor of the Methodist Christian Temple, under an arch of palms and ferns.

Miss Addie S. Cogsdale was maid of honor. She was becomingly attired in a dainty white silk messaline over tulle, and carried a formal bouquet of pink roses. Master Walter White was ring-bearer. Mr. A. S. Drumwright acted as the groom's best man. The ushers were Mr. Walter Finch White, brother of the bride, and Mr. Elmer Albright, a brother of the groom.

At the conclusion of the ceremony an informal reception was held, after which Mr. and Mrs. Albright left on the Old Dominion steamship for a trip to Europe.

Mr. and Mrs. Albright will return to Norfolk in September.

Perinall—Jones.
[Special to The Times-Dispatch.]
SPOTSYLVANIA, VA., August 6.—Mr. Charles J. Perinall, of North Carolina, and Miss Cynthia M. Jones, of Spotsylvania county, Va., were united in marriage in Washington, D. C., on Wednesday, by Rev. Atwood P. Teneham, of the Episcopal Church. The bride, who is the daughter of Mr. C. J. Perinall, left on a bridal tour for Niagara and Canada for thirty days.

Evening of Pleasure.
[Special to The Times-Dispatch.]
AMHERST, VA., August 6.—The "Cate Chantant," given under the auspices of the Chancel Guild of St. James Episcopal Church, the sixth annual, was one of the most successful entertainments given in Warrenton, and in addition to the regular booths of fancy work, candy, etc., an elaborate program was rendered. All of those taking part acquitted themselves in a most creditable manner. The singing of Mr. R. J. Backing, of Washington, was the feature of the evening. Mr. Backing rendered several numbers, and was encored time and time again, all of his selections being well rendered and highly appreciated.

Miss Evans's House Party.
[Special to The Times-Dispatch.]
AMHERST, VA., August 6.—Miss Harriet Evans, daughter of Commonwealth's Attorney Otto L. Evans, is this week entertaining a merry house party at her home, near the village. Those making up the house party are Catherine Baker, Elizabeth Yancy, Margaret Davis, Christiana Sadie Apperson, Susan Gannaway, Margaret Banister, of Lynchburg, and Elizabeth Marguerite, and Elizabeth D. Christian, from Gordonsville, and Bland Hobson, from Cartersville.

SALOON MEN WIN AND PLACES OPEN

(Continued From First Page.)

of the court (and in that opinion Judge McCreary concurred), and among other things said: "But the schedule, which is a part of the Constitution," etc., wherein he decides that the schedule to the Constitution of 1869 was a part of that Constitution.

Difference in Schedule.
Judge Goelick quotes decisions on this point in Arkansas and Texas, and declares:

"There is also a great difference between this and the previous schedules in that the present schedule not only provides for carrying into effect the Constitution itself, but for permanent organic laws as well, which no Legislature is authorized to change. Article 2, of the Constitution referred to in schedule 18, fixes the qualification of voters as to registration and payment of these poll taxes and the usual and accepted definition is given to the words in schedule, section 18, 'in an election held after this Constitution goes into effect.' Those qualifications in order to vote in special and local option elections, must exist. If not, then what is the need of the necessity of adopting section 18, at all, for the qualifications of voters at all elections for officers elective by the people have already been met and fully, completely and exhaustively enumerated and fixed in article 2, in its long-drawn-out efforts to frame this Constitution, not one word is found showing any intention to draw any distinction between general and special elections, but the word 'election' is used in its broad and comprehensive sense, and the purpose of the convention, the majority of the committee, on this election franchise matter, says: 'The committee, therefore, hereby providing for only one electorate for all classes of elections, whether general, special or local, upon which the same qualifications are conferred, except upon the military and naval classes, a poll tax of \$1.50, which must be paid six months before the election, and the voter offers to participate.' The conclusion is irresistible. It seems to me that section 18, of the schedule, was manifestly inserted for the purpose of making it a part of the Constitution, and it is fully realized the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248, our Court of Appeals says: 'The construction placed upon the Constitution of the State by the Legislature is entitled to consideration, and in case of doubt should be resolved in favor of its validity, and I fully realize the delicacy of a decision to the contrary, but the 'plain language' of the present Constitution, as I read it, leaves me with no alternative. In Day vs. Roberts, 101 Va. p. 248,